

Health Promotion/Disease Prevention Practice Guideline Critique
This assignment is worth 100 points- 10% of your grade

Imagine yourself working as an advanced practice nurse after you graduate. Consider a patient and a decision that could be guided by a guideline (i.e. ordering a mammogram). Find two or more guidelines that exist for your chosen decision (for example, there are mammogram guidelines from ACOG, USPTF, ACS, etc). In one paragraph, describe the patient and the situation (remember not to share protected health data if you are thinking about a real person. Feel free to write about a hypothetical person/situation). Next, compare and contrast the two guidelines and discuss how you would decide which guideline to follow. Your decision might be based on the quality of the guideline, the organization it comes from, patient-specific factors, cost, access, etc. Explain the reasoning and rationale for your decision, citing references where appropriate.

These references may be useful to you for this assignment:

- Goolsby, M.J. (2001). Evaluating and applying clinical practice guidelines. *Journal of American Academy of Nurse Practitioners*, 13(1), 3-6.
- Seto, K., Matsumoto, K., Kitazawa, T., Fujita, S., Hanaoka, S., & Hasegawa, T. (2017). Evaluation of clinical practice guidelines using the AGREE instrument: comparison between data obtained from AGREE I and AGREE II. *BMC Research Notes*, 10 (1), 716. doi:10.1186/s13104-017-3041-7
- Siering, U., Eikermann, M., Hausner, E., Hoffman-Eber, W., & Neugebauer, E.A. (2013). Appraisal tools for clinical practice guidelines: A systematic review. *Plos One* 8(12). Doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0082915
- Shaughnessy, A.F., Cosgrove, L., Lexchin, J.R. (2016). The need to systematically evaluate clinical practice guidelines. *The Journal of the American Board of Family Medicine*, 29(6), 644-648.

Format:

- 3-5-page limit (not including title page or references), 12- point font and double-spaced.

Components of Written Assignment

1. Introduction: Succinctly introduce the situation, significance of the problem, and related guidelines.

2. Second section: Compare and contrast the two guidelines. Which one will you follow? Why? Consider the credentials of the authors, the scientific support for the guideline, how well it serves your purpose and population, and potential problems you may face with implementing it. Add any other relevant issues you see in comparing the two guidelines for use in practice. Use additional resources as appropriate to support your decision.

3. Third section:

Conclusion. Succinctly synthesize your critique and implications for practice

Written Paper Rubric

Criteria	Ratings				Pts
Thesis/ Purpose	Excellent: Purpose or thesis is clearly stated. 15.0 pts	Satisfactory: Purpose or thesis is present, but not stated outright. 12.0 pts	Unsatisfactory: Purpose or thesis is implied, but is difficult to ascertain. 9.0 pts	No credit: Purpose or thesis is not present. 0.0 pts	15.0 pts
Body/ Content	Excellent: Content is well-thought-out and is clearly tied to the purpose. Body of paper uses concepts discussed in the course. Ideas are well-developed and complete. 25.0 pts	Satisfactory: Content is reasonably well-thought-out, but is not always complete or tied directly to the purpose. Ideas are well-developed for the most part, but there may be a few holes in the content. 21.0 pts	Unsatisfactory: Content does not clearly relate the purpose. Ideas are not fully developed. Holes in the content are readily apparent. 15.0 pts	No credit: Content is lacking severely and is not at all tied to the purpose. Holes in the content are abundant. 0.0 pts	25.0 pts
Rationale/ Support	Excellent: Rationale and support for arguments is provided and is focused on evidence-based practice. Support arguments reference information from reputable, current, and scholarly outside sources that pertain to the topic at hand as well as content from the course. 25.0 pts	Satisfactory: Rationales or support for arguments is generally provided, but not always, and evidence-based practice is not always emphasized. This entry includes references to assigned course material (videos, readings, lectures, etc.), but does not include reputable, scholarly outside sources. 21.0 pts	Unsatisfactory: Rationales and support are rarely provided for arguments, evidence-based practice is not emphasized, and references are rarely used. No reputable, scholarly outside sources are cited. 15.0 pts	No credit: Rationales or support for arguments are not provided and no sources are cited. There is no suggestion of evidence-based practice. 0.0 pts	25.0 pts
Organization/ Structure	Excellent: The paper is well-organized with a clear introduction, body, and conclusion. Paragraphs flow and there are appropriate transitions between thoughts. 20.0 pts	Satisfactory: The paper is organized, but is missing a few transitions or the flow is not perfect. 17.0 pts	Unsatisfactory: The paper is not well-organized but generally contains an introduction, body, and conclusion. There is not good flow of ideas and there are few transitions used. 12.0 pts	No credit: The paper is missing the introduction, body, and conclusion. There is no flow and transitions are missing. 0.0 pts	20.0 pts
Style and Grammar	Excellent: The entry follows grammatical rules, there are no spelling errors, and citations are correct. In-text references are used and are correctly formatted. Excellent use of tenses, tone, and sentence structure. Correctly cites &	Satisfactory: The entry follows grammatical rules, there are minimal spelling errors, and citations are correct. In-text references are used and are correctly formatted. Good use of tenses, tone, and sentence structure with some errors.	Unsatisfactory: The entry does not follow grammatical rules, there are several spelling errors, and citations are incorrect. In-text references are not always used, and are not always formatted correctly. Good use of tenses, tone, and sentence structure with several errors. Inconsistencies in APA format for citations or	No credit: 0.0 pts The entry does not follow grammatical rules, there are several spelling errors, and citations are incorrect. In-text references are missing. Incorrect use of tenses, tone, and sentence structure.	15.0 pts

Written Paper Rubric

Criteria	Ratings			Pts	
	references all sources, using APA format 15.0 pts	Minor errors in citations or references 12.0 pts	references. Able to locate sources as cited 9.0 pts	Many errors in citations or references 0.0 pts	
Total Points: 100.0					